

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	· FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/766,062	01/28/2004	Phillip Robert Kavanagh	97674.00005	2286
7:	590 03/09/2005		EXAM	INER
McCarter & English, LLP			SUKMAN, GABRIEL S	
Four Stamford 107 Elm Street			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
Stamford, CT 06460			3641	
			DATE MAILED: 03/09/200	5

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

· · · \		¥-				
	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
Office Action Summany	10/766,062	KAVANAGH, PHILL	KAVANAGH, PHILLIP ROBERT			
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
The MAIL INC DATE of this communication	Gabriel S. Sukman	3641				
The MAILING DATE of this communication Period for Reply	n appears on the cover sneet wit	n the correspondence addi	ress			
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR R THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CI after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period for reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by any reply received by the Office later than three months after the earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ON. FR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a re on. a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty eriod will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONT statute, cause the application to become ABA	ply be timely filed (30) days will be considered timely. HS from the mailing date of this com NDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	nmunication.			
Status						
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on	28 January 2004.					
2a) ☐ This action is FINAL. 2b) ☑	This action is non-final.					
	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.					
Disposition of Claims						
4) ☐ Claim(s) 1-7 is/are pending in the applicat 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are with 5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ☐ Claim(s) 1-7 is/are rejected. 7) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and	hdrawn from consideration.					
Application Papers						
9) The specification is objected to by the Exa 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) Applicant may not request that any objection to Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the contact of the c	accepted or b) objected to be the drawing(s) be held in abeyand orrection is required if the drawing(s	ce. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). s) is objected to. See 37 CFR	• •			
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for for a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority docur 2. Certified copies of the priority docur 3. Copies of the certified copies of the application from the International But	ments have been received. ments have been received in Ap priority documents have been i ureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	oplication No received in this National S	tage			
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.						
Attachment(s)						
 Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-946) 	4) Interview St	ımmary (PTO-413) /Mail Date				
Notice of Draitsperson's Patent Drawing Review (P10-944) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/S Paper No(s)/Mail Date		ormal Patent Application (PTO-1	152)			

Art Unit: 3641

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

Claims 1-7 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by U.S. Patent No. 5,584,449 to Kavanagh.

Claim 1 of the instant application is essentially identical to that of the '449 patent except for the first control means including "conjoined contiguous venting control means which permit the outer perimeter at least of the operculum to be pulled away from the perimeter edge of the aperture to variably open" the aperture. But the '449 patent does indeed teach this limitation since pulling harder [than would be required to merely close the aperture] on the first control means (21) serves to permit the outer perimeter to be pulled away from the perimeter edge of the aperture, thus venting the aperture, as can be seen in col. 7, lines 20-26 of the '449 patent.

Claim 2 is anticipated by the '449 patent as well since the only added limitation in claim 2 that is not present in claim 1 is that the thermal aircraft is a hot air balloon and since the '449 patent teaches a venting system for a hot air balloon. Also, claim 2 of the instant application is essentially identical to claim 2 of the '449 patent with the exception of the added limitation as in claim 1, discussed above.

Claim 3 is anticipated by the second control means disclosed by the '449 patent.

Art Unit: 3641

Claim 4 is clearly anticipated by the '449 patent as can be seen by reference numbers 27 and 28 in figures 2-4.

Claim 5 is taught by the embodiment of the '449 patent shown in figures 5-7.

The line (35) is connected to the operculum at a plurality of arcuately spaced locations (col. 9, lines 10-25) which are on an inner periphery of the underside of the operculum, radially spaced outwardly from the center of the vent panel.

Claim 6 is clearly taught by the embodiment of the '449 patent shown in figures 5-7 since the second control means (lines 35) are also connected to the outer perimeter of the operculum.

Claim 7 is anticipated by the '449 patent as per the discussion regarding claim 1, since the invention is venting means.

Conclusion

The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure.

- U.S. Patent No. 5,967,459 to Hayashi
- U.S. Patent No. 5,653,405 to Cameron
- U.S. Patent No. 5,149,015 to Davis
- U.S. Patent No. 4,836,471 to Piccard
- U.S. Patent No. 4,651,956 to Winker et al.

European Patent No. 0 401 891 A1 to Regipa et al.

UK Patent Application GB 2 260 956 A to Cameron

Application/Control Number: 10/766,062 Page 4

Art Unit: 3641

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Gabriel S. Sukman whose telephone number is (703) 308-8508. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F, 8:30-6:00, every other Friday off.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Michael J. Carone can be reached on (703) 306-4198. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

gss

MICHAEL J. CARDNE SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER